

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 28.03.2018 for “Consultancy services for Authority’s Engineer for Supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-Lane bi-directional Zojila Tunnel with Parallel Escape (Egress) Tunnel excluding approaches on Srinagar-Leh Section connecting NH-1 at km 95.00 and at km 118.00 in the State of Jammu & Kashmir on EPC Basis”.

The following five firms submitted their bids for the subject project by due date and time.

- (i) M/s AECOM Asia Company Limited in Association with AECOM India Private Limited .
- (ii) M/s TPF GENTISA EUROESTUDIOS S. L. in Association with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD.
- (iii) M/s YOOSHIN ENGINEERING CORPORATION in Association with CASTA Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
- (iv) M/s GEODATA ENGINEERING S.P.A in Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory (P) Ltd.
- (v) M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L in JV with GEOCONTROL, S.A.

2. The Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC), in its earlier meeting dated 27.02.2018 had recommended that the following firms qualify the Minimum Eligibility Criteria:

- (i) M/s TPF GENTISA EUROESTUDIOS S. L. in Association with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD.
- (ii) M/s GEODATA ENGINEERING S.P.A in Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory (P) Ltd.
- (iii) M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L in JV with GEOCONTROL, S.A.

3. M/s AECOM Asia Company Limited in Association with AECOM India Private Limited had submitted its representation alongwith further supporting documents to prove that it qualifies the Minimum Eligibility Criteria. The same has been examined and the following has been observed:

Claims of the bidder		NHIDCL's consideration
Eligibility Requirement: Preparation of detailed Project Report/Design length equal to 1.5 times (21.465 km) or more of similar category for which RFP is invited in the last 12 years:-		
Project at Serial No. 2	A request letter already submitted to NHIDCL for updation of tunnel length on INFRACON portal on dated 18.10.2017. NHIDCL assured to consider it during evaluation.	The updation of tunnel length has been considered. The project has been not been considered after evaluation since the role of the firm involves review of primary design, Contractor's method statement and checking of tunnel design.
Project at Serial No. 9	This project is as for as Pre-Feasibility study work for 40 km High Speed Rail Link in Hong Kong. In Hong Kong and some other countries, pre-feasibility study equivalent to DPR/Feasibility study, which forms the basis for client to proceed with Detail Design and tendering of works, detail scope of services pertains to study mentioned in Client's certificate.	The certificate clearly states that the work is pre-feasibility study. Further, the consultant failed to corroborate with the support of documents that the pre-feasibility study of 40 km long rail tunnel is equivalent to feasibility study. Hence, the project was not considered.
Project at Serial No. 18	The project is for Design & construction supervision of the tunnel works. A client	The work does not pertain to DPR preparation; instead the work is detailed design in connection







	certificate confirming completion of Design work had already annexed with the proposal.	with the construction work. Hence, not considered.
Project at Serial No. 22	-	Considered.
Project at Serial No. 27	The project is for Design & construction supervision of the tunnel works. A client certificate confirming completion of Design work had already annexed with the proposal.	The work does not pertain to DPR preparation; instead the work is detailed design in connection with the construction work. Hence, not considered.

3.1 As per the above considerations, the total aggregate length comes out to be 5.1 km whereas the minimum requirement is 21.465 km. The firm was observed to have not possessed requisite experience in the preparation of DPR by the Committee. However, the firm has qualifying experience in other eligibility criteria. The firm failed to fulfill all the eligibility criteria as mentioned in clause 11 (A) of ITC of RFP and does not qualify for further evaluation; the details are as per Appendix-A of Annexure-I.

4. M/s YOOSHIN ENGINEERING CORPORATION in Association with CASTA Engineers Pvt. Ltd. had requested the Competent Authority to extend the submission of representation date by 3 weeks, which has not been approved by the Competent Authority. However, it was directed that we may wait up to 27.03.2018 for the representation from the firm. Since, no representation has been yet received by the firm till date, the firm stands non-eligible in the Minimum Eligibility criteria.


5. In view of the above, ETEC recommended that the following firms qualify the Minimum Eligibility Criteria as detailed in Annexure-I:

- (i) M/s TPF GENTISA EUROESTUDIOS S. L. in Association with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD.
- (ii) M/s GEODATA ENGINEERING S.P.A in Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory (P) Ltd.
- (iii) M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L in JV with GEOCONTROL, S.A.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.



Sh. Sanjeev Malik
Executive Director-III
(Convenor)



Sh. Pradeep Sharma,
GM (Tech.)
(Member Secretary)



Sh. M.S Deol
GM (Tech.)
(Member)



Sh. Uttam Chatterjee,
Dy. GM (Fin.)
(Member)